

Program-Level Assessment: Annual Report

Program: MA EDUCATION LEADERSHIP

Department: EDUCATION LEADERSHIP

Degree or Certificate Level: MASTERS College/School: SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Date (Month/Year): **SEPTEMBER 2021** Primary Assessment Contact: **SALLY BETH LYON**;

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2020 - 2021

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2020

1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle?

SLU Outcome:

Apply the major practices, theories, or research methodologies in the field(s) of study.

MA Student Learning Outcome #2:

Graduates will apply knowledge about evidence based leadership, communication, financial, legal, curriculum/instruction/assessment, and management practices to work in building level educational administration areas.

2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please identify the course(s) in which these artifacts were collected. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

The artifacts directly measuring student learning of *applying knowledge about evidence based leadership*, *communication*, *financial*, *legal*, *curriculum/instruction/assessment*, *and management practices to work in building level educational administration areas* are:

1. Final Exams - Papers (Public and Catholic Cohorts)

- a. Collected in EDL 5450 Fall 2020
 - i. Assessed by instructor of record using rubric (see attached in folder)
 - ii. n = 10
- b. Collected in EDL 5730 Fall 2020
 - i. Assessed by instructor of record using rubric
 - ii. n = 44

2. School Improvement Plan

- a. Collected in EDL 5630 Spring 2021
 - i. Assessed by instructor (Lyon) of record using rubric (see attached in folder)
 - ii. n = 11

All courses are taught in-person on Frost Campus. Highlighted courses are hybrid or fully online due to COVID-19 global pandemic.

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report.

The M.A. Faculty, specifically the instructors of record for the courses identified above in question 2, scored the artifacts collected during the respective semesters during the 2020-2021 assessment cycle. For each course, the

Experience paper preparing (Foundations Class) - Talk to Superintendent standards and MA standards (self-assessment for the whole program) In charge of your own learning - Self-assessment for whole program) Ask Sally Beth for this!!!!

Make recommended changes to requirements for students during first semester in the program: In addition to course assignments add Stage 1, semester 1 of your program (get on a building level committee, go interview an elementary and secondary principal and ask them these questions - 10 hours each; reflection on their practice or another classroom teacher)

If no changes are being made, please explain why.

7. Closing the Loop: Review of <u>Previous</u> Assessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of assessment data?

Writing: APA

Objective/Criteria	Developing (Grade of C)	Satisfactory (Grade of B)	Excellent (Grade of A)
Part I: Relevant theory, principles of practice	The answer represents a superficial or vague analysis of the relevant theoretical issues or foundational problems of practice.	The answer provides a plausible analysis of some obvious theoretical issues or foundational problems of practice. The answer lists one relevant theory or big idea for each guiding question. The essay adequately explains one relevant theory.	The answer provides a thorough analysis of the problems, issues, or challenges. The answer lists several relevant theories or big ideas for each guiding question. The essay fully explains one appropriate theoretical issue or foundational problem of practice for each guiding question.
Part II: Plan	The answer provides a superficial plan of action that is not clearly based on evidence-based best practices.	The answer provides a plausible plan with some relevance to evidence-based best practices.	The answer provides an adequate and workable
		Writing represents accurate but superficial application of the literature.	Writing represents the author's accurate, appropriate and relevant application of the literature and evidence-based practices content.
Graduate Level	There were numerous		

Name	Data Cultivalità d
Name	Date Submitted

Missouri Leader Development Syste Standards-The Aspiring Principal(As	· ·	Needed Experiences, Continuous Professional Growthdeas
April 2018)		
	(I) Vision, Mission, and GoalsVisionary L	_eadership
Knows themportance of a vision and I relates to the core values and culture school community		
 Understands the importance of all sta knowing the collective mission, vision values 		
Understands how multiple sources of connected to a mission, vision and co		
	(II) Teaching and Learni ng Instructional L	_eadership
Understands standards and how they horizontal and vertical alignment of locurricula and content areas related to effect to effect the standards and content areas.		
Understands how to assess student le using a variety of formal and informal assessments	earning	
 Knows, uses and understænthultiple s for analyzing data to inform the instruction process 		

Name	Date Submitted
Understands the principles of adult learnin how these help develop teacher capacity	ig an
	(III) Management SkillsManagerial Leadership
Knows how a safe and functional school fa and grounds support student learning	acility
Understands how routines, procedures, schedules and technology support the schenvironment	iool
Understands tools used to determine key attributes of effective personnel	
Understands the necessity of establishing communicating clear expectations, guideling and procedures respecting the rights of all and students	nes
14. Understands the rel of observation, feedba and intervention for improving or removing personnel and the legal and ethical decision creating an effective educator evaluation p	ons in
15. Is knowledgeable of requirements regardir personnel records, laws and reports	ng
Understands the statutory requirements the affect how a school budget works and the sources of revenue available to support so goals and priorities	maj o r
17. Understands how nonfiscal resources supoposchool goals and priorities	ot
(IV) Co	llaboration with Families and & teholders—Relational Leadership

Lyon PrincipalshipLleadershipSelfAssessment			
Name	Date Submitted		
Knows how and why analysis of student demographics is used to determine the overall diversity of a school and its impact on the teaching and learning process			

EDL 5630 School Improvement Plans: 25 points (200 ernshipHours)

Objective/Criteria
School Improvement
Plan:Overviewof
School/Community/
Cultural Influences

Developing(Grade of C) (2 points) Theplan provides limited the school/community/cultural influences that impacted the development of the plan.

Satisfactory(Grade of B) (2.5 points) The plan provides a dequate evidence of the identification of evidence of the identification of clearevidence of the the school/community/cultural influences that impacted the development of the plan.

Excellent(Grade of A) (3 points) Theplan provides complete and identification of the school/community/cultural influences that impactethe development of the plan.