





# Program Level Assessment: Annual Report SE

1-



chemistry are offered in Madrid and these courses very rarely include majors

### 3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s), (e.g. a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report (do not just refer to the assessment plan).

Raw scores were tabulated by the instructors of the courses and sent to the undergraduate program coordinator. Percentage scores were evaluated using the following criteria:  $\geq 89\%$  exceeds,  $80-89\%$  meets,  $70-79\%$  approaching, and  $< 70\%$  does not meet



Changes to the Curriculum or Pedagogies	x Course content	x Course sequence
	x Teaching techniques	x New courses
	x Improvements in technology	x Deletion of courses
	x Prerequisites	x Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings
Changes to the Assessment Plan	x Student learning outcomes	x Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
	x Artifacts of student learning	x Data collection methods
	x Evaluation process	x Frequency of data collection

Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of these findings.

The faculty and staff responsible for the course associated with Outcome #4 have evaluated the results from this outcome. While these results look poor, the overall results for all programs/degrees combined demonstrates that most students exceed or meet the expectations. The small sample size makes a meaningful recommendation difficult. We will continue to monitor the results of this outcome and make adjustments as needed.