Trica Bagsby, Dana Baum, Jen Jen Chang, Beth Gockel-Blessing, Helen Lach, Zhenguo Lin, Joe Lyons, Dana Malkus, Katie Mescari, Bill Rehg, Kristi Richter, Ness Sandoval

Jen Popiel, Azœ

The current draft of the policy is accessible at https://libguides.slu.edu/c.php?g=1330246&p=10204197

Dr. Lisa Fischer and Ms. Martha Allen provided further policy discussion and answered any questions. Dr. Fischer explained that the second policy draft was circulated among faculty, and UAAC and GAAC reps were invited to share feedback before the March 2, 2024 deadline. The next step will be including the feedback into the third draft policy.

Dr. Fischer and Ms. Allen opened the floor for questions and discussions from the GAAC committee.

A number of topics and pieces of feedback were raised by committee members in the discussion. This included concerns about student protections and the standard of evidence, concerns about faculty workload for faculty serving on the committees, and faculty responsibility for reporting in the new policy. We also discussed artificial intelligence issues related to academic integrity.

Dr. Baum asked about the faculty burden of gathering evidence of AI policy violations. Specifically, what types of tools will be   t drea